




Co-founder of NARAL Pro-Choice America Exposes Lies Undergirding the Abortion Industry #Life Leads #Life Wins



Support the mission of Hosea Initiative @WWW.HOSEA4YOU.ORG

<p>THE LIES promulgated by NARAL from 1969 - present in an aggressive political and cultural revolution to legalize abortion-on-demand.</p>	<p>THE TRUTH</p> <p>This document includes facts reported by Bernard N. Nathanson, MD, the “Father of America’s Industry of Abortion” and primary abortion-propaganda marketer in the late 1960s. While working as an OB/GYN in New York City in 1969, he co-founded NARAL (National Association for the Repeal of Abortion Laws) with Lawrence Lader for the purpose of legalizing abortion in all 50 states. He claimed personal responsibility for the abortion deaths of 75,000 babies. Dr. Nathanson resigned from NARAL in 1975, 2 years after observing a child on real-time ultrasound which prompted his pro-life conversion. He authored three books: <i>Aborting America</i>, <i>The Abortion Papers</i>, and his autobiography, <i>The Hand of God</i>. In 2009, he was interviewed by Terry Beatley, President of Hosea Initiative, a non-profit organization dedicated to teaching Dr. Nathanson’s conversion story.</p>
<p>THE LIE:</p> <p>Abortion is WOMEN’S HEALTHCARE: A decision between a woman and her doctor.</p>	<p>Dr. Nathanson said, “Giving [legalized abortion] just the barest patina of a medical judgement made it infinitely more acceptable and politically palatable. In actual fact, the abortion decision is no more the doctor’s than a nose job is. It is the woman alone who decides if she wants her nose fixed, or her breasts done, or her child destroyed, and she merely involves the doctor as the instrument of her decisions.” Bernard N. Nathanson, M.D., <i>The Abortion Papers</i>, p. 199.</p> <p>CONSIDER: Women’s unique gift of childbearing is not a disease. There is nothing healthy about killing a human life. Abortion also impacts the mother, increasing the risk of breast cancer, cervical trauma, miscarriage, depression, suicide, and substance abuse. Angela Lanfranchi, M.D., <i>Complications</i>, 2013.</p>
<p>THE LIE:</p> <p>Abortion is a matter of “CHOICE.”</p>	<p>Dr. Nathanson said, “I remember laughing when we made those slogans up...We were looking for some sexy, catchy slogans to capture public opinion. They were very cynical slogans then, just as all of these slogans are today... very, very cynical.” World Net Daily /01.21.21, “<i>How God redeemed the doctor who gave America legalized abortion.</i>”</p> <p>Dr. Nathanson was advised by a public relations firm to frame the debate around “choice” to divert thoughts from the central issue: the immoral killing an innocent child. Because Americans love freedom and choices and knew little to nothing about the baby in the womb in the 1960’s, “Choice” was marketed as an efficient, effective, and highly persuasive propaganda tool.</p> <p>CONSIDER: Humans are free to choose, but not all things. One cannot legally drive while intoxicated, steal from an employer, run a red light, murder a neighbor. Legal limitations exist to protect innocent people from choices that deny another person a basic human RIGHT – first among them the RIGHT TO LIFE.</p>
<p>THE LIE:</p> <p>The media is neutral on the issue of abortion.</p>	<p>Dr. Nathanson exposed, “From the founding of NARAL and the launching of the abortion revolution, it was necessary to enlist the fourth estate (the media) in the cause in order to assure its success...Young women reporters, newly graduated from college and attractively radicalized in the campus tumults of the late 1960s, were assigned to cover the abortion issue, and we recruited them happily. That alliance between the Abortion People and the media was forged in 1969 and remains intact...” <i>The Abortion Papers</i>, p. 3.</p>

<p>THE LIE: 5,000 -10,000 women died yearly due to complications of illegal abortion. (A statistic circulated in the 1970s to justify legalizing abortion and is still quoted and promoted today.</p>	<p>Dr. Nathanson said, “I confess that I knew the figures were totally false, and I suppose the others did too if they stopped to think of it. But in the ‘morality’ of our revolution, it was a useful figure, widely accepted, so why go out of our way to correct it with honest statistics? The overriding concern was to get the laws eliminated, and anything within reason that had to be done was permissible.” Bernard N. Nathanson, M.D., <i>Aborting America</i>, p. 193.</p> <p>CONSIDER: In the 10 years leading to the <i>Roe v Wade</i> and <i>Doe v Bolton</i> decisions, maternal deaths related to abortion counted between 90 – 150; 100% of the babies died. Never did 5,000 - 10,000 women die per year due to complications of abortion. REFERENCE: Center for Disease Control, Abortion Surveillance Unit. Quoted in Matthew J. Bulfin, M.D. “<i>Deaths and Near Deaths with Legal Abortions</i>”. Presented at the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Convention, Disney World, FL 10.28.1975.</p>
<p>THE LIE: The growing fetus is not human, nor a baby; it is just a blob of tissue.</p>	<p>Dr. Nathanson said, “The pro-life movement is armed with new scientific data and perceptions which demonstrate beyond question the humanity of the unborn child from the moment of conception.” Bernard N. Nathanson, M.D., <i>The Abortion Papers</i>, p. 3.</p> <p>“We founded NARAL with the goal to export our pro-abortion mentality across the land. One of our strategies in order to mislead the American public was to deny what we knew to be true – that an abortion kills an existing human being. We denied that fact in an effort to mislead the American public and the courts of this land.”</p> <p>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1xfEoqGeliA</p> <p>Dr. Nathanson explained to Terry Beatley: “Real time ultrasound was the bomb. It made everything come alive.” Terry Beatley, <i>What If We’ve Been Wrong?</i>, p. 163.</p> <p>CONSIDER: Science leaves NO doubt that at the moment of conception, the new human being has unique DNA. Size, location, environment, nor dependency define one’s right to life, but only if the life is a living human being.</p>
<p>THE LIE: The growing unborn child feels NO pain.</p>	<p>Dr. Nathanson narrates in the 1984 video, <i>The Silent Scream</i>, which captures ultrasound video movement of a 12-week preborn child being aborted. Exhibiting agitation and possible fear, the baby recoils away from the suction instrument prior to the amniotic sac being broken. He/She opens his/her mouth “in a silent scream of a child threatened imminently with extinction.” <i>The Silent Scream</i> film, minute marker 16:58 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dEZGzvoASW4</p> <p>CONSIDER: Is feeling pain the standard for measuring whether it is moral to end a human life? Current prenatal science marks feeling pain closer to 10 weeks gestation.</p>
<p>THE LIE: Abortion is an act of love.</p>	<p>Dr. Nathanson commissioned Terry Beatley to deliver his message across America. The 83-year-old, ill with terminal cancer said, “Tell America that the co-founder of NARAL says, ‘Love one another. Abortion is NOT love. STOP THE KILLING. The world needs more love. I’m all about love now.’” [from the personal interview of Dr. Nathanson by Terry Beatley, December 1, 2009, Manhattan, NY.]</p>
<p>THE LIE: Roe v. Wade was settled law.</p>	<p>Dr. Nathanson wrote, “I believe the abortion ethic is fatally and forever flawed by the immorality of the means of its victory. A political victory achieved by such odious tactics is at best an unstable tyranny spawned by an unscrupulous and unprincipled minority.... I believe that an America which allows a junta of moral thugs to foist an evil of incalculable dimensions upon it and permits that evil to flower, creates for itself a deadly legacy – a millennium of shame.” <i>The Abortions Papers</i>, p. 209.</p> <p>CONSIDER: In the June 24, 2022, <i>Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization</i> decision, Supreme Court Justice majority reversed the <i>Roe v Wade</i> decision on the ground that the Constitution makes no reference to abortion and no such right is protected by any constitutional provision.</p>
<p>THE LIE: Pre-born children are not entitled to constitutional protection under the law.</p>	<p>Dr. Nathanson said, “When ultrasound in the early 1970s confronted me with the sight of the embryo in a womb, I simply lost my faith in abortion on demand. I did not struggle to hold onto my old convictions.” <i>The Abortion Papers</i>, p.145. “I finally came to the conviction that this was my patient. This was a person! I was a physician, pledged to save my patients’ lives, not to destroy them. So I changed my mind on abortion.” WorldNetDaily/01.21.21 / “<i>How God redeemed the Doctor who gave America legalized abortion</i>”.</p> <p>CONSIDER: Laws exist today that protect a pre-born baby, such as rulings of manslaughter for the death of unborn child if a pregnant mother is murdered. Health insurance companies routinely pay for surgeries and prenatal care on pre-born children. Precedent is there for constitution protection for the pre-born. There is an inconsistency, a moral “whip-saw,” says Dr. Nathanson, when we provide legal protection in some facets of medicine and law and fail to uphold the fundamental RIGHT TO LIFE of the pre-born child.</p>

